

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE              | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/019,485                | 04/17/2002               | Svend-Erik Mikkelsen | H0610.0000          | 1371             |
| 24998                     | 7590 10/20/2004          |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| DICKSTEIN<br>2101 L STREI | SHAPIRO MORIN &<br>ET NW | TOOMER, CEPHIA D     |                     |                  |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526 |                          |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                           |                          |                      | 1714                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | ) ()  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|   | 10/019,485  | MIKKELSEN ET AL.  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|   | Cephia D. Toomer  | 1714  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with   | the correspondence address  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   | oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |   | *   |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |   |   |  |  |  |
|   | is action is non-final.   |   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 7-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | awn from consideration.   | ·   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |   | • •   |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   |   | •   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | ts have been received.<br>ts have been received in Appority documents have been re<br>au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | olication No eceived in this National Stage   |  |  |  |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | of the certified copies not re  | ceivea.   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | _   |   |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   |   | nmary (PTO-413)<br>Mail Date  |  |  |  |
| (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |   | rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1714

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 7, 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norton (US 4,422,412).

Norton teaches a compression ignition engine (diesel engine) containing a heat exchanger that converts methanol to dimethyl ether (see abstract; col. 1, lines 45-53) and a method of running a compression ignition engine (see col.2, lines 63-64). The alcohol is run through the exchanger to the catalytic converter where the alcohol is converted to ether. The temperature in the catalytic converter is from about 80-400 °C (see col. 2, lines 63-68; col. 3, lines 1-10; 46-50). Norton teaches that the exhaust gases pass through the catalytic converter chamber (see col. 42-44).

Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

Norton teaches the limitations of the claims other than the pressure at which the conversion takes place. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the pressure through routine experimentation for best results. As to optimization results, a patent will not be granted based upon the optimization of result effective variables when the optimization is obtained through routine experimentation unless there is a showing of unexpected results that properly rebuts the *prima facie* case of obviousness. See *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 276, 205 USPQ 215, 219 (CCPA 1980). See also *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936-37 (Fed. Cir. 1990), and *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

4. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Basu (US 6,270,541).

Basu teaches a diesel fuel composition comprising 70-95 wt % dimethyl ether, up to 20 wt % methanol and from 0.1 to about 20 wt % water (see abstract). Basu teaches that the fuel is used in a compression ignition engine with direct injection fuel system and fitted with an exhaust gas recirculation system (see col. 4, lines 61-67; col. 5, lines 1-4). Basu teaches the limitations of the claims other than the differences that are discussed below.

In the first aspect, Basu differs from the claims in that he does not specifically teach that the fuel is prepared by the method of claim 7. However, Basu teaches the same fuel and in product-by-process claims, determination of patentability is based on the product itself.

Art Unit: 1714

In the second aspect, Basu differs from the claims in that he does not specifically teach the exhaust gas that is recirculated is heated to a temperature of at least 60 ° C. However, it would be reasonable to expect that the gas is heated since it is recirculated through the heated engine.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Céphia D. Toomer Primary Examiner

D Dono